The statistical model behind simmr (and SIAR)

Andrew Parnell

- Understand the statistical model behind simmr/SIAR
- Know how to run a model in simmr/SIAR and check that it works
- Be able to follow the technical details of the 2010 SIAR Plos ONE paper

Our simple SIMM

In the last class we had a simple SIMM defined via:

$$y_i \sim N\left(\sum_{k=1}^2 p_k s_k, \sigma^2\right)$$

with $s_k \sim \textit{N}(\mu_{s_k}, \sigma_{s_k}^2)$, $p_1 \sim \textit{U}(0, 1)$ and $\sigma \sim \textit{U}(0, 100)$

- Here y_i is the isotope value, s are the source values, p are the dietary proportions, and σ is the residual standard deviation
- The goal is to estimate the p and its uncertainty. The other parameters can be considered nuisance parameters

Expanding the simple SIMM

► This SIMM is currently too simplistic. We need to expand it by:

- increasing the number of food sources
- including trophic enrichment factors (TEFs)
- including concentration dependence
- allowing for multiple isotopes
- allowing for richer source sampling by consumers
- ▶ If we include all of these factors we end up with the simmr/SIAR model
- We will take them in turn and add them into our JAGS code

```
Reminder: the SIAR geese data
```


data(geese_data_day1)
str(geese_data_day1)

```
## List of 8
  $ mixtures : num [1:9, 1:2] -11.4 -11.9 -10.6 -11.2 -11.7 ..
##
  ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
##
## ....$ : NULL
## ....$ : chr [1:2] "d13C Pl" "d15N Pl"
##
   $ tracer names : chr [1:2, 1] "d13C Pl" "d15N Pl"
   $ source names : chr [1:4] "Zostera" "Grass" "U.lactuca" "Enteror
##
   $ source means : num [1:4, 1:2] -11.17 -30.88 -11.17 -14.06 6.49
##
   ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
##
## .. ..$ : NULL
   ....$ : chr [1:2] "meand13CP1" "meand15NP1"
##
   $ source sds : num [1:4, 1:2] 1.215 0.641 1.959 1.172 1.459 ...
##
    ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
##
##
    .. ..$ : NULL
                                                                  5/24
```

Plotting the data

A plot in isotope space:

plot(simmr_in)



Including multiple sources

Adding in multiple sources to the likelihood means having more terms in the sum:

$$y_i \sim N\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k s_k, \sigma^2\right)$$

 \blacktriangleright In the above we have K sources and hence K dietary proportions

- ▶ We also now need *K* source prior distributions
- The tricky part about adding in multiple proportions is the prior distribution

Priors for constrained dietary proportions

- We must have $\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$ so any prior distribution we place on the *p*s must satisfy this restriction
- You will often hear values restricted in sum referred to as a simplex)
- Luckily there is a distribution known as the *Dirichlet* which is suitable for restricted sum parameters
- The Dirichlet has one parameter for each proportion α₁,..., α_K. The larger the α value the larger prior weight that dietary proportion will be given
- Setting all the α values to 1 is equivalent to the simplex uniform distribution, i.e. a prior assumption that all sources are consumed equally

```
JAGS SIMM with a Dirichlet prior
```

```
model code ='
model {
  for(i in 1:N) { y[i] ~ dnorm(inprod(p,s),sigma^-2) }
  p ~ ddirch(alpha)
  for(k in 1:K) { s[k] \sim dnorm(s mean[k], s sd[k]^{-2}) }
  sigma ~ dunif(0, 100)
data=with(geese_data_day1,
          list(v=mixtures[,1],
               s mean=source means[,1].
               s sd=source sds[.1].
          N = nrow(mixtures), K=nrow(source means),
          alpha=rep(1.nrow(source means))))
set.seed(123)
model_run = jags(data = data,
                 parameters.to.save = c("p", "sigma"),
                 model.file = textConnection(model code))
```

Results

- We can explore/plot results with summary(output), plot(output), and also run multiple chains, form predictive distributions, check convergence, etc
- One important thing to note is that the fitting method (MCMC) produces a joint posterior distribution of the dietary proportions. This means that each set of samples will sum to 1:

head(model_run\$BUGSoutput\$sims.matrix,4)

##		deviance	p[1]	p[2]	p[3]	p[4]	sigma
##	[1,]	29.23629	0.3204568	0.0019424391	0.01318021	0.6644206	1.377550
##	[2,]	37.17430	0.2174371	0.0173032128	0.24982149	0.5154382	2.681949
##	[3,]	30.39808	0.1113302	0.0335637310	0.48461065	0.3704955	1.456621
##	[4,]	31.57700	0.1490726	0.0002764103	0.37634643	0.4743046	1.858569

The key implication of this is that, aside from exploring the *marginal* posterior distributions (with means, sds, etc) we can explore the *joint* uncertainty of the dietary proportions

A joint plot of the posterior dietary proportions out_2 = model_run\$BUGSoutput\$sims.list\$p colnames(out_2) = geese_data_day1\$source_names pairs(out_2, lower.panel = panel.smooth,

upper.panel = panel.cor)

```
0.00
                                         0.10
                                               0.15
                                                     0.20
                                                             () 8()
                                                                                            0.31
           Zostera
                                        0.12
                                                                                                             õ
                                                                                                             0.0
                                                                                           0.37
                                      Grass
                                                                  0.22
00.0
                                                                                                             9.0
                                                                                            0.30
                                                               U.lactuca
                                                                                                             0.0
8
                                                                                       Enteromorpha
4.0
9
```

Trophic enrichment factors and concentration dependence

Trophic enrichment factors (c) and concentration dependence (q) represent adjustments to the source values to account for various measurement effects
 We can include them by expanding the likelihood:

$$y_i \sim N\left(rac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_k(s_k + c_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_k}, \sigma^2
ight)$$

- The extra part on the denominator is needed so that the dietary proportions still sum to 1
- The prior for ck comes from external data and are given normal distributions like the source values
- In SIAR/simmr the concentration dependencies must be less than 1 (given as proportions) and are treated as fixed

```
Including TEFs and CD - JAGS model
   model code ='
   model {
     for(i in 1:N) {
       y[i] ~ dnorm(inprod(p*q,s+c)/inprod(p,q),sigma^-2)
     }
     p ~ ddirch(alpha)
     for(k in 1:K) {
       s[k] ~ dnorm(s mean[k],s sd[k]^-2)
       c[k] \sim dnorm(c mean[k], c sd[k]^{-2})
     }
     sigma ~ dunif(0,100)
   data=with(geese_data_day1,
             list(y=mixtures[,1], s_mean=source_means[,1],
                  s_sd=source_sds[,1], c_mean = correction_means[,1],
                  c sd = correction sds[,1], q = concentration means[,1],
             N = nrow(mixtures),K=nrow(source means),
             alpha=rep(1,length(source names))))
```

Notes on the TEF and CD model

- If you run this, you'll find that convergence isn't quite as neat and it starts to get a bit slower
- Although it's a nuisance parameter, saving sigma is often a good idea because a large value indicates a poorly fitting model (usually also seen in the iso-space plot)
- The model will also create posterior distributions for s and c, though these are usually pretty similar to the prior, as there isn't much information about their values in the data

Adding extra isotopes

- If we have extra isotopes we can just repeat the likelihood multiple times, once for each value of the isotope. Only the dietary proportions are 'shared' between the isotopes
- Now write y_{ij} as the consumer values for observation i on isotope j, where j = 1,..., J
- ▶ We now have source values s_{jk} , TEF values c_{jk} , concentration dependencies q_{jk} , and each isotope has its own residual standard deviation σ_j
- The likelihood is now:

$$y_{ij} \sim N\left(rac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_{jk}(s_{jk}+c_{jk})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_{jk}}, \sigma_j^2
ight)$$

Richer source sampling

- The model we've been fitting up to now assumes that all individuals sample the same source value s_{kj} for each source and isotope. This is unrealistic
- A better model has each individual sampling a different source value from the source prior distribution, i.e. we now have s_{ikj}
- ► The JAGS code becomes:

```
for(k in 1:K) {
   for(i in 1:N) {
     for(j in 1:J) {
        s[i,k,j] ~ dnorm(s_mean[k,j],s_sd[k,j]^-2)
     }
}
```

- We can do the same with the trophic enrichment factors
- In fact with a bit of clever maths we can remove (marginalise over) the s_{ik} values to get a simpler model with fewer parameters.

The full simmr/SIAR model

Using the trick mentioned on the last slide, we end up with a full model which looks like this:

$$y_{ij} \sim N\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_{jk}(\mu_{s,jk} + \mu_{c,jk})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_{jk}}, \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k^2 q_{jk}^2(\sigma_{s,jk}^2 + \sigma_{c,jk}^2)}{(\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k q_{jk})^2} + \sigma_j^2\right)$$

This model has a more complicated likelihood, but removes the extra s and c parameters

Full SIAR model: JAGS code

```
model code ='
model {
  for (i in 1:N) {
    for (j in 1:J) {
      y[i,j] ~ dnorm(inprod(p*q[,j], s_mean[,j]+c_mean[,j]) /
        inprod(p,q[,j]), var v[j]^{-1}
    }
  p ~ ddirch(alpha)
  for(j in 1:J) {
    var_y[j] <- inprod(pow(p*q[,j],2),s_sd[,j]<sup>2</sup>+c_sd[,j]<sup>2</sup>)/pow(inprod(p,q[,j]),;
      + pow(sigma[j],2)
  }
  for(j in 1:J) { sigma[j] ~ dunif(0,100) }
```

Full simmr/SIAR model: R code

Summary of posterior dietary proportions

```
out_2 = model_run$BUGSoutput$sims.matrix
colnames(out_2) = c(geese_data_day1$source_names,'SD1','SD2')
t(round(apply(out_2,2,quantile,probs=c(0.025,0.5,0.975)),2))
```

##		2.5%	50%	97.5%
##	Zostera	0.41	0.61	0.80
##	Grass	0.01	0.07	0.12
##	U.lactuca	0.01	0.13	0.35
##	Enteromorpha	0.02	0.15	0.52
##	SD1	0.06	0.87	2.41
##	SD2	0.02	0.40	1.50

Some of these proportions are quite imprecise: perhaps see better with matrix plot?

Running SIAR/simmr

- ► The SIAR/simmr R packages run exactly this model with a few extra tweaks
- SIAR contained a slightly optimised algorithm as JAGS used to get a bit stuck on harder data sets.
- SIAR allows for direct plotting of the data in isotope space and *p*-space (i.e. dietary proportion space pairs plots)
- \blacktriangleright SIAR allows for changing the α values to put in proper prior information
- SIAR includes convergence checking
- But don't use that anymore! Instead...

simmr version

- simmr is a much more elegantly written version of SIAR with neater plots and many more features
- Four steps to run a simmr model
 - 1. Call simmr_load to load in the data
 - 2. Call plot to see the iso-space plot
 - 3. Call simmr_mcmc to run the model
 - 4. Check convergence using summary
 - 5. Call plot or summary to access the output
- simmr has further features to combine sources and to compare dietary proportions

simmr code

```
# 1.0a.d.
data("geese_data_day1")
simmr_in = with(geese_data_day1,
                simmr load(mixtures = mixtures.
                       source_names = source_names,
                       source_means = source_means,
                       source sds = source sds.
                      correction means = correction means,
                      correction sds = correction sds,
                      concentration means = concentration means))
# Iso-space plot
plot(simmr in)
# MCMC run
simmr out = simmr mcmc(simmr in)
# Box-plots
plot(simmr out, type = 'boxplot')
```

Summary

- ► The simmr and SIAR models are just complicated versions of linear regression
- ▶ The response is multivariate and the prior distributions on some of the parameters have to be constrained to sum to 1
- It used to be the case that JAGS was slow and couldn't run SIMM-type models. This is no longer true. You can fit much richer models in JAGS (and now MixSIAR) than with SIAR/simmr
- The MixSIAR is an order of complexity again as it uses ideas from generalised linear models to include covariates on the dietary proportions